

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,584	07/21/2003		Tatsuya Arao	12732-050002 4703	
26171	7590	09/22/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RIC	CHARDS	SON P.C.	RICHARDS, N DREW		
1425 K STRI	•	7.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11TH FLOO			ARTONI	TATERTOMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20005-3500	2815		

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	10/622,584	ARAO ET AL.				
	omeen cumury	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAU INC DATE of this communication	N. Drew Richards	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	1 July 2003.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	his action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 19-42</u> is/are pending in the application.						
·-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 19-42</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
-	⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ngn phonty under de dieler g					
-,	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
2.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/873334.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A441	M-1						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🖾 Infor	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/03, 4/9/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/21/03, 4/9/04</u> .	6) L_l Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-9 and 19-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 line 10, claim 19 lines 13 and 16, claim 27 line 12, and claim 35 line 13 recite "a second conductive layer." In each of these claims a second conductive layer has previously been claimed. Thus, it is indefinite as to whether the lines cited are claiming another different "second conductive layer" or whether they are claiming the same "second conductive layer" previously claimed.

Claim 1 line 12 and claim 19 line 18 recite "a first conductive layer." In each claim a first conductive layer has previously been claimed. Thus, it is indefinite as to whether the lines cited are claiming another different "first conductive layer" or whether they are claiming the same "first conductive layer" previously claimed.

Claim 1 line 16, claim 19 line 22, claim 27 line 18, and claim 35 line 16 recite "an impurity." In each of these claims an impurity has previously been claimed. Thus, it is indefinite as to whether the lines cited are claiming another different impurity or whether they are claiming the same impurity previously claimed.

The dependent claims are also indefinite as they contain all the limitations of the claims from which they depend.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,584

Art Unit: 2815

3. Insofar as definite, the claims are rejected over prior art as follows.

Double Patenting

Page 3

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1, 4-9, 19, 22-27, 30-35 and 38-42 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable

Art Unit: 2815

over claim 9 of copending Application No. 10/105,282. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the only differences between the claims are minor details and modification that would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

With regard to claim 1 of the instant application, claim 9 of the copending application teaches forming a semiconductor layer on an insulating surface, forming a first electrode (first gate electrode) of a lamination of a first conductive layer (first conductive film) and a second conductive layer (second conductive film), forming a high concentration impurity region using the first electrode as a mask (self-aligned doping to form impurity region A), forming a second electrode (second gate electrode) and third electrode (second gate electrode) by etching the first conductive layer and second conductive layer (etching the first electrode and second electrode), and forming a low concentration impurity region using the second conductive layer as a mask (self-aligned doping to form impurity region B). Claim 9 of the copending application is silent as to the first, second, and third width of the first and second conductive layers, however, this is considered obvious as the first and second conductive layers are etched and would thus have different widths after each etching step. With regard to claim 4 of the instant application, claim 9 of the copending application is considered to teach the further etching steps recited as claim 9 etches each of the first and second conductive layers twice.

Art Unit: 2815

Claims 19, 27 and 35 of the instant application all recite various combinations of the etching and doping steps of claim 4 of the instant application (which includes all the steps of claim 1 from which it depends). Thus, they are obvious over claim 9 of the copending application in a manner similar to claim 4.

Claims 5, 6, 22, 23, 30, 31, 38 and 39 are considered obvious in view of claim 9 of the copending application. It is well known in the art to use TaN and W as conductive layers in the semiconductor art. They are commonly used as conductors because of their low resistivity and their resistance to diffusion into silicon. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Tan and W as the first and second conductive layers.

With regard to claims 7, 24, 32 and 40, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use phosphorus as the impurity. Claim 9 of the copending application teaches using an n-type impurity but does not specifically claim using phosphorus. It is well known in the art to use phosphorus since there are a limited number of dopants that one could choose from and extensive processing conditions are known for phosphorus because of it's common use in the art.

With regard to claims 8, 9, 25, 26, 33, 34, 41 and 42, these claims are considered obvious. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that it would have been obvious to use the transistor formed in claim 9 of the copending application in an electro-luminescence display or in a video camera, digital camera, projector, goggle-type display, car navigation system, personal computer, player using recording medium, mobile computer, electronic book, or portable telephone. One of ordinary skill in the art

Application/Control Number: 10/622,584

Art Unit: 2815

Page 6

would recognize the fact that thin film transistors such as that of claim 9 of the copending application, are commonly used in these products and are continually being developed for these products since they can be made small, thin, and on light, flexible substrates.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Drew Richards whose telephone number is (571) 272-1736. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M COR III

TOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800